UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/822,342	04/12/2004	Tomoyuki Shimizu	CANO:134	3120
	7590 10/16/200 S & McDOWELL LLF	EXAMINER		
20609 Gordon I	Park Square, Suite 150		ZHEN, LI B	
Ashburn, VA 20147			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2194	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/822,342	SHIMIZU ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	LI B. ZHEN	2194			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
	VIC CET TO EVOIDE A MONTH	CLOD THIRTY (20) DAVE			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period variety exilure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 A</u>	uaust 2008.				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.				
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5,8,10 and 12</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5,8,10 and 12</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)	_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2194

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1, 5, 8, 10 and 12 are presented for examination.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/16/2008 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of

Art Unit: 2194

the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 6. Claims 1, 5, 8, 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,633,910 to Rajan et al. [hereinafter Rajan, previously cited] in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0117798 to Newman et al. [hereinafter Newman].
- 7. As to claim 1, Rajan teaches the invention substantially as claimed including a method of notifying updates [notification control module 85 is provided for allowing a user to be notified of any specified data changes; col. 15, lines 25 35] of a plurality of stored data [Data stored in aggregation; col. 8, lines 25 34], comprising:

a detection step of detecting update of data which have not been notified to a user [T 79 may be set to near o or "real time monitor" mode. This mode may be used to continuously monitor a site wherein data is frequently and rapidly changing; col. 16, lines 23 - 38] from the plurality of stored data [Any new data found in source sites that does not match a last input template used at the source site is regarded as new data or a change in data; col. 14, lines 18 - 55];

an extraction step of extracting an update from the update data [a filter for the data/metadata that is collected by the gathering subsystem (GSS); col. 17, lines 28 – 40];

an accumulation step of accumulating the difference of the data as a notification content [a notification event comprises at least summary data describing the nature of the data changes, col. 16, line 56 – col. 17, line 5; notification comprises data changes at two or more sites (metadata changes), col. 3, lines 53 – 65 and col. 16, lines 37 – 49]; and

displaying the accumulated data [information is rendered onto a user-specified device [col. 17, lines 40 - 51]. Although Rajan teaches the invention substantially, Rajan does not specifically disclose extracting a difference between the data before and after the update which have not been notified, accumulating multiple differences of the data corresponding to the multiple updates, and displaying the accumulated multiple differences of data in order of the updates as a notification content.

However, Newman teaches extracting a difference between the data before [old value] and after [new value] the update which have not been notified [old value field 777 stores the value of the current value field 757 just prior to time indicated in the time field 781; paragraphs 0105, 0106, 0109, 0120], accumulating multiple differences [property history collection 763; paragraph 0102] of the data corresponding to the multiple updates [property history entry 773, Fig. 11; paragraph 0105], and displaying [user interface window to enable users at computer 12 to access the functionality of (including accessing the contextual data; paragraph 0056 and 0077] the accumulated multiple

differences of data in order of the updates as a notification content [orders the results according to the prioritization parameters; paragraph 0138].

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Rajan to incorporate the features of Newman. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the teachings of Rajan and Newman because this provides history context that enable a wide range of applications and accessible to other components [paragraphs 0229 and 0230 of Newman].

- 8. As to claim 10, this is an apparatus claim that corresponds to method claim 1; see the rejection to claim 1 above, which also meet this apparatus claim.
- 9. As to claim 12, this is a program product claim that corresponds to method claim 1; see the rejection to claim 1 above, which also meet this program product claim.
- 10. As to claim 5, Rajan teaches the update content extracting step extracts the update content in a case where the update satisfies a predetermined criterion [guard 81 receives a data change that matches a pre-programmed NC, then guard 81 issues a notification event to notification control module 85; col. 16, lines 36 48 and col. 17, lines 28 40].

Art Unit: 2194

11. As to claim 8, Rajan as modified teaches an update criterion-setting step of setting an update criterion [Guard 81 is programmed to compare data changes entered into database 87 from specified sources to notification criteria entered by a user during configuration; col. 16, lines 36 – 49 of Rajan]; wherein the difference is extracted from a portion of the data satisfying the update criterion set in said update criterion-setting step [If guard 81 receives a data change that matches a pre-programmed NC, then guard 81 issues a notification event to notification control module 85; col. 16, lines 36 – 48 of Rajan].

CONTACT INFORMATION

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Li B. Zhen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3768. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri, 8:30am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (571)272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2194

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Li B. Zhen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2194 Li B. Zhen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2194